#### Wrangell Junkyard Cleanup



Innovative Remediation Technologies Wrangell Junkyard site Cleanup Wrangell, Alaska October 6, 2016



## Site History Summary

- Virgil Byford purchases property in early 1960s, begins salvage yard operations
- Kurt Gibb purchases property from Byford, 1994, continues salvage yard
- City and Borough of Wrangell forecloses on property in 2008 due to unpaid taxes





## Site History Summary

- Concerned about Off-site lead migration Risk
- EPA contractors, Ecology and Environment, studied the site
  - E&E Preliminary Assessment 2001
  - E&E Site Characterization and Removal Estimate 2002
  - E&E Targeted Brownfields Assessment





## Site History Summary

- Lead (Pb) was the primary contaminant at the Site.
- Previous investigations found total lead concentrations of up to 155,000 mg/Kg in surface soil and concentrations up to 8,440 mg/Kg at 3.0 feet below ground surface.
- These investigations also confirmed that the lead was leachable and posed a significant threat to residents on adjacent properties and biological resources in the marine waters of Zimovia Strait.





## Site History Summary: ADEC Involvement

Following EPA funding removal in 2015, ADEC decided to Fund Cleanup with Emergency Response Funds due to immediate threat to human health and risk to the environment.





## Project Summary

- The ADEC awarded project to NRC Alaska to conduct a Remedial Action at the site under it's Spill Prevention and Response (SPAR) Term Contract
- NRC Alaska used a team of local and Alaskan contractors and consultants to complete the work





## Project Summary

- NORTECH Environmental, Energy, Health & Safety – Juneau and Fairbanks
- BW Enterprises of Wrangell – Equipment and shot rock/backfill
- Marine Transportation and Haz Waste Disposal subcontractors Alaska Marine Lines and WM of Oregon





## Original Scope of Work

- Develop and Implement IRAP/SCP/SWPPP
- Collect, Remove, Package, Ship, and Dispose of Bulk Lead Debris
- Build Site Access Roads and Pads for Soil treatment process
- Removal, Treatment, and Shipment of lead contaminated soil as Non Hazardous waste.





## Change From Estimated Conditions

#### Significant Changes

- Depth of Contaminated Soil is Estimated at an average of 3.5 Feet
- Similar to E&E Test Pits Instead of E&E Hand Borings
- E&E Assumption of Bedrock Depth Inaccurate
- Contamination Extends to Underlying Till Layer throughout majority of Site





## Site Risks

- Drums Remaining as Potential Source Material
- Surface Debris and Trash as Physical Hazards
- Lead Plates and Battery Shards
- Lead Contaminated Soil
  - Six surface <u>battery burn piles</u> were evident
- Lead Leaching into Zimovia Strait
  - E&E found that clams on beach below the site are lead contaminated





## Change From Estimated Conditions

- Most Aspects of the Project Progressed as Anticipated
  - SWPPP Implementation Reduced Runoff Contact with Contamination
  - Debris Disposal at Wrangell Landfill
  - Off-site Burning of Woody Debris
  - Lead Contamination is Present Across >90% of Site





#### Lead Correlation

# Previous Observations 90% of Surface >400 mg/kg



#### ➢NITON XRF and Lab Results

- NITON Screening is Effective
- Action Level of <35 (3 Shot Ave) Corresponds to <400 mg/kg</li>





#### **Extensive Lead Contamination**

Batteries and battery fragments were found throughout the soil matrix





## Lead Contamination Existed at Depth

- Excavation work to construct road through Area A noted depth of lead, POL and assorted automotive debris extends to the till layer at depths ranging from 3 to 5 feet.
- Excavation work and test trench on Area B noted contaminated material ranging from 3 to 6 feet to the till layer
- Excavation work to construct the pad for the screen plant on Areas C & D also noted contaminated material extends to till layer at 3 to 5 feet.





## Contaminated Soil Quantities

- Lead Contamination Present in Surface Soil/Organic Layer
  - Surface Soil/Organic Layer was disturbed or otherwise churned to Till
  - Till Depth Ranges from 2.5 to 5 Feet (Average is 3.5 Feet)
  - Mixed Lead, POL contamination and Car Parts Throughout
- ≻Original Estimate: 4,000 CY
- ≻Revised Estimate: 16,500 CY
- Actual Quantity:18,300 cubic yards of stabilized contaminated soil was left stockpiled at the Site





## Project Approach

- Removed Debris and Establish Site Control
- Installed SWPPP BMPs to Manage Runoff
- Setup Soil and Water Handling Areas
- Characterized, overpacked, and shipped for disposal site drums
- Removed Bulk Lead (Battery plates, shards and fragments) as Hazardous Waste





#### Revised Project Approach

- Excavate and Stabilize Lead Contaminated Soil
- All Soil Above 400 mg/kg Lead Treated with ECOBOND to Reduce/Eliminate Lead Leachability
- Creation of a secure stockpile to be left on-site for future move to a local monofill.





## Project Approach

- Project divided into four main areas during Site survey prior to work
- The project site has been mapped using GPS
- Project maps are updated daily
  - Sample and site progress map
  - SWPPP BMP Map





## Site Screening and Mapping Techniques

- The Site was mapped using a Trimble TSC3 GPS surveying unit with base station
- Excavation done in one foot lifts, with samples collected for field screening at 10' grid nodes
- If field screening displays positive results for lead or POL contamination, the excavation is advanced another foot in depth in that area







## Project Approach

- Installed SWPPP BMPs to Manage Runoff
- Setup Water Handling Areas
- Processed nearly 115,000 gallons of water for surface discharge





#### Project Approach

- Construct Capture/settling trench
- Set up Settling tank
- Filters zeolite and carbon
- Post treatment holding tank and test prior to discharge





## Petroleum Contamination

- Petroleum contamination was present in roughly 5-8% of the excavated material
- Subsurface crushed drums containing petroleum were located





#### **Extensive Debris**

Debris, including automotive, industrial and marine engines and parts and tires was present throughout the site, throughout the soil matrix





## NRC Project Progress Timeline

- October 2015: NRC Technical Proposal Submitted
- November 2015: NRC Awarded Cleanup Project
- December 2015: Draft Plan Development
- January 2016: IRAP/SCP/SWPPP Submitted
- Early February 2016: Plans Approved
- Late February 2016: Mobilization and Implementation
- Early March 2016: Completion of IRAP area work





#### NRC Project Progress Timeline

- March/April 2016: Site access established and shot rock brought in to establish working surfaces
- May/June 2016: Water treatment system/soil treatment systems up and running
- June/July 2016: Perfection of soil treatment and debris management
- July/August 2016: Majority of soil treatment and building of secure stockpile for treated soil





#### Aerial View of Project Progress March 15, 2016





#### Aerial View of Project Progress April 15, 2016





## Aerial View of Project Progress May 4, 2016





#### Aerial View of Project Progress May 24, 2016





#### Aerial View of Project Progress May 31, 2016





## Aerial View of Project Progress June 16, 2016





#### Aerial View of Project Progress June 22, 2016





#### Aerial View of Project Progress June 27, 2016





#### Aerial View of Project Progress July 20, 2016





#### Aerial View of Project Progress July 28, 2016





## Project Completion August 02, 2016













# Project Photos

















## Definition of Project Success

- Reduction of Human Health Risk
- Use of Funding Cost Effectively
- Safe operations on a technically challenging site in sometimes very challenging conditions
- Right people, right equipment and techniques



## Project Take-Aways

- Sometimes Project Completion is not Achievable Due to Funding
- Sometimes speed is needed for elimination of the threat
- Access challenges for a small, steeply sloped site in a wet area
- High priority project in a highly visible area





#### Project Take-Aways

Access issues for ~300 cubic yards of material on adjoining land owned by the Alaska Mental Health Trust (AMHT), and ~450 cubic yards from the neighboring residential property





#### Project Take-Aways

- Management of water and runoff – big challenge
- Successful on-site treatment, use of local subcontractors, Consultants and transportation experts



Local buy-in from all levels in a small community



## Special Recognition

- ADEC Division of Spill Prevention and Response
- ADEC Contaminated Sites Division
- ADEC Administration up to and including the Commissioner's office
- City of Wrangell and its fine citizens
- Dedicated Environmental Professionals from all Companies involved

